HILL & SANDFORD ATTORNEYS AT LAW EL PRESIDIO BUILDING 800 PRESIDIO AVENUE SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93101 (805) 963-1453

F(LED) SUPERIOR COURT SANTA BARBARA

3

2

1

Russell R. Ruiz, State Bar No. 123414

APR 3 0 1992

4 5

Attorneys for Defendant GOLETA WATER DISTRICT KENNETH A PETTIT, County Cierk-Recorder By: Sandle Pagnin

JANETTE PAYNTER, Deputy Clerk-Recorder

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 | v.

15

16

17

19

21

24

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

MARTHA H. WRIGHT, et al., CASE NO. SM57969 Plaintiffs,

ORDER REGARDING GOLETA'S RIGHT TO STORE WATER IN THE NORTH CENTRAL BASIN

GOLETA WATER DISTRICT, et al., Defendants.

On February 28, 1992, in Department 30 of the Ventura 18 County Superior Court, this case having been transferred and assigned by the California Judicial Council, a hearing was 20 | conducted upon Goleta Water District's ("District") motion for an order allowing District the right to store and carryover basin 22 | water entitlements and upon District's Second Quarterly Report 23 | 1991-1992.

District was represented by Hill & Sandford and Russell 25 | Ruiz; overlying owners were represented by Hatch and Parent and 26 | Stanley M. Roden; City of Santa Barbara was represented by Assistant City Attorney Robert Pike; County of Santa Barbara was 28 represented by Chief Deputy County Counsel Shane S. Stark; Santa

11

15

18

21 |

22

23

25

1 Barbara High School and Goleta Union School Districts were represented by Charles W. Willey; La Cumbre Mutual Water Company was represented by Griffith & Thornburgh and L. Donald Boden; three private parties were represented by Mullen & Henzell and Jeffrey C. Nelson and Andrew Polinsky; and Citizens for Goleta Valley were represented by the Environmental Defense Center by Marc Chytilo.

The Court having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to District's motion and Second Quarterly Report, and good cause appearing therefor, hereby makes the following orders:

- The Amended Judgment in the above-entitled case, paragraph 11, is modified to grant District the continuing right to store up to 2,000 acre feet per year of water in the Goleta northcentral groundwater basin ("basin") either by injection or nonpumping of its appropriative right and to later extract that stored water from the basin upon the terms and conditions set forth 17 hereinafter;
- The safe yield of the basin shall remain as defined 19 | in paragraph 3(w) and quantified in paragraph 13 of the judgment, 20 | i.e. 3410 AFY, without consideration to any stored and/or "Drought Buffer" water pursuant to District Ordinance 91-1;
- District shall be estopped to assert or claim that its stored water and/or "Drought Buffer" water has diminished the 24 | safe yield of the basin;
  - The District shall be estopped to assert or claim that its water will affect any rights of any other party to use the basin water as set forth in the judgment, including but not limited to the overlying priority (paragraph 9) adjudicated in favor of

1 Overlying Owners, or the senior appropriator's priority (paragraph 10) adjudicated in favor of La Cumbre Mutual Water Company ("La Cumbre");

- Nothing in this Order shall be deemed or construed a relinguishment or waiver, or diminution of any right of water use or extraction which the County or City of Santa Barbara owns or enjoys by virtue of status as public and governmental entities (Judgment paragraphs 30,28(a)), nor affect the reservation of claims as to pueblo rights made by the City (Judgment paragraph 28(b)) or the claims of a super-priority right made by the School Districts (Judgment paragraph 27(g)(3) and (h)).
- 6. Should District's extraction of its stored water and/or "Drought Buffer" water cause damage, including but not limited to a cone of depression so that Overlying Owners' or La Cumbre's pumping costs are increased more than the average under similar conditions, or otherwise make pumping uneconomical, District will mitigate said damage by reducing its extractions or provide alternative mitigation measures in the form of an alternative source of water or money damages to any damaged Overlying Owner or to La Cumbre;
- The District's Annual Report required by the Judgment (paragraph 19) shall, beginning with the Annual Report due June 15, 1992, and for each year thereafter, include a statement of the amount of water stored in the basin for the period covered by that Annual Report as well as the method of storage, whether by injected imported water, or banking of the District's appropriative right to basin water. The Annual Report shall also include a statement of ///

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the total cumulative amount of water claimed by the District as its stored water and/or "Drought Buffer" water in the basin.

- 8. Should any dispute arise regarding the terms and conditions set forth above, the dispute shall be submitted to this Court as a Law and Motion matter in compliance with the procedures set forth in the Judgment in paragraph 3(1).
- 9. District shall comply with the California
  Environmental Quality Act regarding actions taken pursuant to this
  order and submit reports on such compliance to this Court.

Dated: February \_\_\_\_, 1992

HONORABLE EDWIN M. OSBORNE
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

c:\wpfiles\rrr\goleta.ord
msp:mc:03/03/92

## DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, the undersigned, say that I am a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, and am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, in which county the withinmentioned mailing occurred. I am not a party to the within action My business address is El Presidio Building, 800 Presidio Avenue, Santa Barbara, California 93101. I served a true and correct cop of NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER REGARDING GOLETA'S RIGHT TO STORE WATE IN THE NORTH CENTRAL BASIN by placing same in a separate envelope for each addressee named hereinafter, each envelope addressed as follows: SEE ATTACHED LIST

The within document was deposited in the United States mail, with postage fully pre-paid, at Santa Barbara, California on 15 May 4, 1992.

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws 17|| of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 18 Executed this 4th day of May, 1992, at Santa Barbara, California.

19

7

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## SERVICE LIST - SBSC CASE NO. SM57969

	SERVICE LIST - SBSC	CASE NO. SM57969
1	David Nawi, Esq.	John S. Poucher, Esq.
	Stephen Shane Stark, Esq.	HOLLISTER & BRACE
	Santa Barbara County Counsel	1126 Santa Barbara Street
3	105 East Anapamu Street	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
	Stanley C. Hatch, Esq.	Daniel J. Wallace, Esq.
	Stanley M. Roden, Esq.	City of Santa Barbara 735 Anacapa Street
	HATCH & PARENT 21 East Carrillo Street	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
1	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	banca barbara, on 30101
- 1		
7	Jeffrey C. Nelson, Esq.	Edward V. Waters Rose E. Waters
0	MULLEN & HENZELL 112 E. Victoria Street	8995 Cambridge Drive
٥	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Barbara, CA 93111
9	Danea Danata, on 35101	
	Charles W. Willey, Esq.	Desmond O'Neill
10	WILLEY & BECKERMAN	Attorney at Law
4 4	812 Presidio Avenue	1215 De La Vina St., Suite J Santa Barbara, CA 93101
	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	
12	Marc Chytilo, Esq. Environmental Defense Center	Gary Blair Superior Court Administrator
40	906 Garden St., Suite 2	1100 Anacapa Street
I U	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
14		37 33 33
	L. Donald Boden, Esq.	Alan Aleksander Attorney at Law
GI	GRIFFITH & THORNBURGH 8 East Figueroa Street	225 E. Carrillo Street
16	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
	*	
17	David Tredway, Esq.	David Smith, Esq. c/o Pacific Lighting Corp.
40	COHEN, ENGLAND & WHITFIELD 300 Esplanade Drive, 6th Floor	P.O. Box 60043
10	Oxnard, CA 93030	Terminal Annex
19		Los Angeles, CA 90060
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
20		
27		